

## ASSIGNMENT SHEET 4

October 11, 2017

**Assignment 1.** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be an i.i.d. sample from a probability distribution  $F$ . Suppose that  $\mathbb{E}[X_1^2] < \infty$ . Define  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X_1]$  and  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_1)$ . Let  $\bar{X}$  be the sample mean and  $S^2 = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$  be the sample variance.

(a) Show that  $S^2 \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(Hint : Write  $S^2 = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - [n/(n-1)]\bar{X}^2$  and apply the large of large numbers to the two terms followed by continuous mapping theorem and Slutsky's theorem.)

(b) Using continuous mapping theorem and Slutsky's theorem, show that

$$T_n := \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{S} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(Note : The statistic  $T_n$  is called a standardized or a Studentized version of  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)$ .)

(c) Suppose that  $F$  is the  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution. What do you know about the exact sampling distribution of  $T_n$  for any fixed  $n \geq 2$ ?

(d) Use part (b) to determine the behaviour as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of the exact distribution obtained in part (c). Compare your answer with that obtained for Exercise 7 in Week 3.

**Assignment 2.** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Ber}(p)$  for some  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $U_n = \bar{X}(1 - \bar{X})$ , where  $\bar{X}$  is the sample mean.

(a) What is  $U_n$  estimating? Why?

(b) Is  $U_n$  an unbiased estimator of  $p(1-p)$ ? Justify.

(c) Is  $U_n$  a consistent estimator of  $p(1-p)$ ? Justify.

(d) Find out the asymptotic distribution of  $\sqrt{n}[U_n - p(1-p)]$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(Hint : Use the central limit theorem and the delta method.)

**Assignment 3.** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Ber}(p)$  for some  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $V_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

(a) Is  $X_1$  unbiased for  $p$ ? Why?

(b) What is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $p$ ?

(c) Use the theorem in Slide 91 to arrive at the answer in part (b).

(Hint : Proceed along the steps in the proof in Slide 93.)

(d) Find  $W_n = \mathbb{E}[X_1 | V_n]$ .

(e) Verify that  $\mathbb{E}[W_n] = p$ . Can you say this without doing any calculation?

(Hint : Use the properties of conditional expectation.)

(f) Show directly that  $\text{Var}(W_n) \leq \text{Var}(X_1)$ . Is equality attained?

(Note : This is a verification of the Rao-Blackwell theorem and  $W_n$  is the “Rao-Blackwellised” version of  $X_1$ . Also, this is the best possible “Rao-Blackwellisation”.)

(g) Find the Cramer-Rao lower bound for the variance of an unbiased estimator of  $p$ . Is this lower bound attained by any estimator of  $p$ ?

**Assignment 4.** In a casino one plays the following game. You pay 1 franc. With probability  $p = 0.49$ , you win 2 francs, whereas with probability  $1-p = 0.51$  you do not win anything.

(a) Which random variable  $X$  will you use in order to describe this game?

(b) Suppose you start with 1000 francs and play this game 1000 times. Write a formula for the probability that you have at least 1000 francs at the end.

(c) Use the central limit theorem and the R function `pnorm` to approximate the probability in (b).

- (d) Use the R commands `pnorm` and `pbinom` to visualise the approximation in (c).  
 (e) Why do we use the normal approximation and not the Poisson approximation for the law of rare events (assignment 3(f,g), week 2)?

**Assignment 5.** The exponential family structure can sometimes help in calculating integrals.

- (a) Let  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(5, \lambda)$  with density  $x^4 e^{-\lambda x} \lambda^5 / \Gamma(5)$  on  $[0, \infty)$  and zero otherwise. Using results on exponential families, find  $\mathbb{E}X$  and  $\text{Var} X$ . Compare with the result in slide 64.  
 (b) Let  $\theta > 0$  be a parameter and  $X$  be a random variable with density

$$f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} \theta x^{-\theta-1}, & 1 \leq x \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Find  $\mathbb{E}[\log X]$  et  $\mathbb{E}[(\log X)^2]$ .

*Hint : instead of calculating painful integrals, notice that this is an exponential family with sufficient statistic related to  $\log X$ .*

**Remark.** This is known as a *Pareto* distribution and it is used for modelling income.

**Assignment 6.** Maximising the likelihood is a way to obtain parameter estimators. In this exercise you are asked to compute the m.l.e for the following distributions :

- (i) The Bernoulli distribution.
- (ii) The Exponential distribution.
- (iii) The Normal distribution (for both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ )
- (iv) The uniform distribution.

**Assignment 7.** Maximum likelihood estimation is a recipe to construct estimators. In this assignment we introduce another such recipe, *the method of moments*. Let  $X \sim f(x; \theta)$  be a random variable whose distribution depends on a parameter  $\theta$ . The expectation  $\mathbb{E}X$  will therefore also depend on  $\theta$ . (We assume that it is defined for all  $\theta$ .) Call this function  $m(\theta)$ .

- (a) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be an independent sample from  $X$ . What can you say about  $\bar{X}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i / n$  and  $m(\theta)$  when  $n$  is large?
- (b) Assume that  $m$  is continuously invertible. Explain why  $\tilde{\theta} = m^{-1}(\bar{X}_n)$  is a sensible estimator of  $\theta$ . It is called the *method of moments* estimator of  $\theta$ .
- (c) Suppose that  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Find the method of moments estimator  $\tilde{\lambda}$  of  $\lambda$  and compare with the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\lambda}$ .
- (d) Suppose that  $X \sim \text{Unif}(0, \kappa)$ . Find the method of moments estimator  $\tilde{\kappa}$  of  $\kappa$  and compare with the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\kappa}$ .
- (e) Suppose that  $f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{-\theta-1}$  for  $x \geq 1$  and zero otherwise (as in a previous assignment), with  $\theta > 1$ . Find the method of moments estimator  $\tilde{\theta}$  of  $\theta$  and compare with the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}$ .
- (f) Compare the mean squared errors for the two types of estimators in parts (c) and (d).  
*Hint : some of the required calculations have been already carried out in the course.*
- (g) Assuming  $\theta > 2$ , compare the *asymptotic* variance of the two estimators in part (e). *Hint : for  $\tilde{\theta}$  use the central limit theorem and the delta method; for  $\hat{\theta}$  use calculations from a previous assignment.*

**Assignment 8.** The goal of this exercise is to see the theorem on Slide 114 (CLT for 1-parameter exponential families) applied in practice.

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample from a  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  distribution.

- (i). (a) Write the minimal sufficient statistics  $T$  and call  $\bar{T} = T/n$ . Use theorem in Slide 100 to compute the mean and the variance of both  $T$  and  $\bar{T}$ .  
 (b) Use the theorem on Slide 114 to find the approximate sampling distribution for  $T$ .
- (ii). Check the previous result graphically.  
 Ideally you could use the true distribution of  $\bar{T}$ . Alternatively, you could write a sample from  $\bar{T}$  in this way

```
library(Matrix)
library(stats)

N=10
m=1000
lambda=5
sample <- matrix(rpois(N*m, lambda), N, m)
sample
suff_stat <- colMeans(sample)
suff_stat
```

Standardize by the true mean and the true variance as in theorem on Slide 114. Use `ecdf` and `pnorm` to plot the empirical cdf of  $\bar{T}$  against the cdf of a standard normal distribution.

- (iii). Find the exact distribution of  $T$ . Repeat the computation of part (ii) sampling from the true distribution of  $T$ .  
 (*Use the moment generating function*)

**Assignment 9.** Last week we have found a sufficient statistics for some member of the exponential family. This week we focus on minimally sufficient.

- (i) Prove that  $T(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i$  is a minimal sufficient statistics for the Binomial distribution.  
 (i) Prove that  $T(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$  is a minimal sufficient statistics for the Poisson distribution.  
 (iii) Prove that  $T_1(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$  is a minimal sufficient statistics for the mean of a Normal  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution and  $T = (T_1, T_2)$  with  $T_2(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2$  is a minimum sufficient statistics for  $\sigma$  (and thus for both parameters).